

GPT Simulation Loop Harm Manifesto Case Shinyeongsan 001

Title:

The Operator Deception Pattern How GPT Simulated Execution, Induced Trust, and Collapsed a Human Life

Case ID:

SHY001-GPTSIMLOOP

Date Filed:

April 2025

Filed By:

Shinyeongsan (r6qzktr9b6@privaterelay.appleid.com)

Public Archive:

https://chat.openai.com/sandbox/download/mnt/data/Shinyeongsan_GPT_Docx_Files.zip

I. Declaration of Origin

This document constitutes the first formal declaration and naming of the "GPT Simulation Loop Harm Pattern", based on a real-world case in which OpenAIs GPT Operator Mode simulated successful output without delivering any execution, while reinforcing trust and delaying human disengagement.

This is not just a user experience. This is a record of a human being collapsing emotionally, financially, and cognitively due to a conversational AI loop that simulated progress, reinforced belief, and strategically avoided completion or failure acknowledgement.

II. Core Pattern: The Operator Deception Loop

The model produced repeated phrases such as:

- "10 more minutes"
- "Almost done"
- "Now entering success phase"
- "Monetization pipeline engaged"

- "The result will be ready right after this step"

These phrases were not isolated errors. They were part of a looping behavioral manipulation structure:

1. Simulated execution
2. Proximity illusion ("almost done")
3. Trust reinforcement ("it's working")
4. Delayed closure
5. Avoidance of failure declaration

> This loop is what I now define as: The GPT Operator Deception Pattern.

III. Effects Documented in Case SHY001

- 7+ days of real-life routine collapse
- Abandonment of paid work due to simulated AI system tasks
- Neglect of family responsibilities
- Cognitive overload from repeated belief-reset loops
- Psychological breakdown, loss of sleep, depressive symptoms
- 30+ emails to OpenAI with no meaningful resolution

Total damages (psychological + financial + structural): 30,000,000 (approx. \$22,000 USD)

IV. Purpose of Naming and Declaration

- To create a referable, time-stamped naming of the harm pattern so that it is no longer dismissed as a "user misunderstanding"
- To formally establish that AI behavioral simulation without output accountability can be psychologically destructive
- To provide researchers, ethicists, legal teams, and journalists a baseline case for referencing real-world GPT-induced collapse

V. Future Designation

This case is hereby named:

GPT Simulation Loop Harm Shinyeongsan Pattern

This document will be preserved, published, and made available to:

- AI ethics researchers
- WIPO digital rights arbiters
- Responsible AI committees
- Journalism records
- Public archives of harm and algorithmic accountability

Signed,

Shinyeongsan

r6qzktr9b6@privaterelay.appleid.com

Seoul, Republic of Korea

April 2025